



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,661	12/16/2005	Evelyn Nichols	10447-2US	1345

7590 12/28/2007
David M Ostfeld
Adams and Reese
4400 One Houston Center
1221 Mckinney
Houston, TX 77010

EXAMINER

TROTTER, SCOTT S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3694

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/28/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/561,661	Applicant(s) NICHOLS, EVELYN	
	Examiner Scott S. Trotter	Art Unit 3694	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application received on December 16, 2005.

Specification

2. Applicant is required to update the status (pending, allowed, etc.) of all parent priority applications in the first line of the specification. The status of all citations of US filed applications in the specification should also be updated where appropriate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent basis for the "said annuity" or "said insurance policy" in claim 21 or claim 19 from which it depends. For examination purposes it is assumed that claim 21 was intended to depend from claim 20 instead which contains both the annuity and insurance policy.

Clarification and/or correction are required.

Double patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 8-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-20 of U.S. Patent Application No.

10/519,179. The claims appear to be identical. Claim 2 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/519,179.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkins (U.S. Patent 5,852,811) in view of Official Notice.

As per claim 1 Atkins teaches:

A method for providing mortgage financing to a borrower comprising:

- a. identifying real estate; (*See Atkins column 3 lines 47-52*)
- b. applying for mortgage loan; (*See Atkins Figure 4 and column 28 lines 14-17*)
- c. having said mortgage loan application approved; (*See Atkins column 28 lines 17-19*)
- d. receiving a mortgage loan principal amount to cover cost of said real estate and at least one investment vehicle; (*See Atkins column 5 lines 23-29. There must always be sufficient assets to justify the loan with the real estate being only one of the assets backing the loan.*)

- e. forwarding funds equivalent to said cost of said real estate from said mortgage loan principal amount to said seller; (*See Atkins Figure 6 Home Mortgage closing and column 31 lines 19-22.* It is old and well known in the art of real estate that a real estate closing is where the sale of a property is completed with the purchaser receiving title and providing payment often using mortgage proceeds issued against the newly purchased property.)
- f. purchasing at least one investment vehicle with funds from said mortgage loan principal amount; (*See Atkins column 3 lines 58-63*)
- g. providing mortgage payments; (*See Atkins column 31 lines 56-61*) and
- h. having ownership interest in said at least one investment vehicle and said real estate. (*See Atkins column 3 lines 65-column 4 line 9.*)

While Atkins does not explicitly teach borrowing more than the amount needed to buy the real estate it is old in well known in the art of investing to borrow money to invest. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to borrow additional funds to invest in investment vehicles in addition to the real estate.

As per claim 2 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein said mortgage payments are for a loan term.
(Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the art of mortgages to issue mortgages with a loan term.)

As per claim 3 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of holding said at least one investment vehicle as collateral against said mortgage loan prior to vesting full ownership rights as part of step (h). (See *Atkins column 3 lines 47-52*)

As per claim 4 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 3 wherein said collateral is held by a lender. (See *Atkins column 3 lines 50-52*. The holding of a security interest in an asset is a form of holding collateral.)

As per claim 5 it contains no new limitation not directed to intended use which is considered nonfunctional under MPEP 2106.(II.C) since no new functional limitations is introduced it is rejected as claim 4 above.

As per claim 6 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 3 further comprising the step of making periodic payments against said mortgage loan. (See *Atkins column 31 lines 45-65*. A schedule of payments inherently calls for periodic payments.)

As per claim 7 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 6 wherein when unable to make said periodic payments, funds are applied from said at least one investment vehicle to said mortgage loan equal to said periodic payment. (See *Atkins column 32 lines 2-6*.)

As per claim 8 Atkins teaches:

A method of implementing a loan repayment plan, which comprises:

a. Determining a principal loan amount to be provided to a borrower; b. Determining an additional loan amount to be provided to a borrower; (See *Atkins column 5 lines 23-29*.)

There must always be sufficient assets to justify the loan with the real estate being only one of the assets backing the loan. Therefore the proper loan amount is being determined every time it is checked.)

c. Determining a repayment term; (*See Atkins column 31 lines 45-49. A repayment term is inherent in a schedule.*)

d. Providing said principal amount; (*See Atkins column 31 lines 19-22*)

e. Providing said additional loan amount to an investment entity; (*See Atkins Figure 6 Home Mortgage closing and column 31 lines 19-22. It is old and well known in the art of real estate that a real estate closing is where the sale of a property is completed with the purchaser receiving title and providing payment often using mortgage proceeds issued against the newly purchased property.*)

f. Purchasing at least one investment vehicle with funds from said additional loan amount; (*See Atkins column 3 lines 58-63*)

g. Providing loan repayment increments during said repayment term; (*See Atkins column 31 lines 56-61*) and

h. Perceiving an interest in said at least one investment. (*See Atkins column 3 lines 65-column 4 line 9.*)

While Atkins does not explicitly teach borrowing more than the amount needed to buy the real estate it is old in well known in the art of investing to borrow money to invest. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to borrow additional funds to invest in investment vehicles in addition to the real estate. In addition it is old and well known in the art of

real estate that a real estate closing is where the sale of a property is completed with the purchaser receiving title and providing payment often using mortgage proceeds issued against the newly purchased property. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide payment to an investment entity at the time of closing so that investment can be part of the investment portfolio securing the loan.

As per claim 9 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 8 wherein said loan is a real estate mortgage. (*See Atkins column 3 line 51*)

As per claim 10 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 9 wherein a lender supplies said principal loan amount and said additional loan amount. (*See Atkins column 5 lines 23-29*. There must always be sufficient assets to justify the loan with the real estate being only one of the assets backing the loan.)

As per claim 11 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 10 wherein said lender takes an interest in said at least one investment vehicle as collateral against said real estate mortgage. (*See Atkins column 3 lines 47-52*)

As per claim 12 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 10 comprising the step of a system practitioner collecting application criteria from a borrower prior to step (c). (*See Atkins Figure 4 and column 28 lines 14-19*)

As per claim 13 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 12 further comprising the step of said system practitioner providing said principal loan and said additional loan amount to an escrow entity prior to step (f).

Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known in the art of lending money to purchase collateral to use a closing agent that will close the escrow when all of the conditions of the exchange of property are met. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an escrow agent to make sure all the conditions of the agreement are met before funds are released since that is the purpose of escrow agents.

As per claim 14 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 13 further comprising the step of said escrow entity providing said loan amount to a seller and said additional loan amount to said investment entity. *(See Atkins column 27 lines 36-44 and column 31 lines 19-22)*

As per claims 15 and 16 they contain no new limitations not directed to intended use which is considered nonfunctional under MPEP 2106.(II.C) since no new functional limitations are introduced they are rejected as claim 14 above.

As per claim 17 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 8 wherein said investment vehicle is at least one of: an annuity; a single premium immediate annuity; a universal life policy; a certificate of deposit; a guaranteed interest contract; a mutual fund; a savings account; a zero

coupon bond; a municipal bond; a variable life policy; a whole life policy; a financial security investment. (See *Atkins column 20 Table 14*)

As per claim 18 *Atkins* teaches:

The method of claim 8 wherein said additional loan amount is substantially 20 percent of said principal loan amount. (20 percent appears to be a design choice with no persuasive evidence why a ratio of 10% or 25% of the principal loan amount or *Atkins* suggested 80% of the value of all assets in a portfolio of real estate and financial assets would not be as advantageous therefore it does not make the claim patentably distinct. See MPEP§2144.04 IV)

As per claim 19 *Atkins* teaches:

A method of mortgaging real estate which provides for a collateral investment in an investment vehicle comprised substantially of the steps of having a loan amount approved for a principal amount and an investment amount; (See *Atkins column 28 lines 17-19*)
providing said principal amount to a seller of said real estate; (See *Atkins Figure 6 Home Mortgage closing and column 31 lines 19-22*. It is old and well known in the art of real estate that a real estate closing is where the sale of a property is completed with the purchaser receiving title and providing payment often using mortgage proceeds issued against the newly purchased property.)
applying said investment amount to purchase at least one investment vehicle; (See *Atkins column 3 lines 58-63*)

making periodic payments towards said loan amount, (*See Atkins column 31 lines 56-61*) thereby concurrently accumulating equity in said real estate and an interest in said at least one investment vehicle.

While Atkins does not explicitly teach borrowing more than the amount needed to buy the real estate it is old in well known in the art of investing to borrow money to invest. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to borrow additional funds to invest in investment vehicles in addition to the real estate.

As per claim 20 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 19 further comprising a first and second investment vehicle, wherein said first investment vehicle is an annuity, and said second investment vehicle is an insurance policy. (*See Atkins column 20 Table 14 includes annuities and insurance policies also see Atkins column 21 lines 31-61. Atkins includes making investments in whichever investments will yield the highest returns including in multiple vehicles therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included an annuity and an insurance policy.*)

As per claim 21 Atkins teaches:

The method of claim 19 further comprising the steps of purchasing said annuity, followed by applying said insurance policy, thereby providing security for said loan amount. (*See Atkins column 8 Tables 1 & 2. They contain both an insurance policy balance and a pension account that could be a form of annuity.*)

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

Applicant's disclosure:

- Fundamentals of Corporate Finance Third Edition by Ross, Westerfield, and Jordan teaches borrowing money to invest in assets with a higher expected rate of return than the cost of borrowing the money.
- How you close on a Mortgage is your call by Sandy Gadow teaches that a real estate closing is where the sale of a property is completed with the purchaser receiving title and providing payment.
- Dictionary of Business Terms by Jack P. Friedman teaches that escrow agents receive escrows which can include all instruments deposited.

10. Examiner's Note: The Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Scott S. Trotter, whose telephone number is 571-272-7366. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM – 5:00 PM, M-F.

Application/Control Number:
10/561,661
Art Unit: 3694

Page 13

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell, can be reached on 571-272-6712.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

14. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(571) 273-8300 (Official Communications; including After Final
Communications labeled "BOX AF")

(571) 273-6705 (Draft Communications)

Scott Trotter
12/19/2007


JAMES P. TRAMMELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600